NOTES

THE BIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE TROPICAL INTERTIDAL MYSID GASTROSACCUS SP.

SEVERAL mysids have been analysed as part of investigations into the biochemistry of general marine zooplankton (Raymont, Austin and Linford 1964; Vinogradova 1964; Raymont and Linford 1966, Seguin 1968 and Raymont, Srinivasagam and Raymont 1969). In this study the composition of the tropical, intertidal, substrate dwelling *Gastrosaccus* is compared with analyses for mysids from quite different environments.

Specimens from a huge population of *Gastrosaccus* were taken from the surf beach approximately one mile south of the mouth of the river Vellar near Porto Novo, Tamil Nadu, India. Catches were made between successive waves by scooping up the surface sand in which the animals were temporarily shallowly buried. Separation was carried out by washing the sand through a sieve and the isolated mysids were transferred to bottles of sea water. The mysids were taken to the laboratory and analysed as soon as possible using living healthy animals. Experience has shown that a healthy mysid is transparent and any opacity signifies imminent death. Estimations of dry matter, protein, carbohydrate, lipid, chitin and ash were carried out according to the methods of Raymont *et al.* (1964).

and the second se	-
TIDID	
	_

Biochemical composition of mysids. Data are expressed as % dry weight except water content which is shown as % wet weight

Species		Water	Protein	Carbo-	Lipid	Chitin	Ash	Reference
				Ayuraie			12	
Gastrosaccus sp.	••	80	60	4	10	12	12	Present study
Mysids	••	<u> </u>	52	13	27	-	8	Vinogradova 1964
Neomysis integer	• •	78	71	2	13	7	8	Raymont et al. 1964
Leptomysis lingvura	• •		70	3	11	-	-	Raymont and Linford 1966
Praunus vulgaris	••		72	3	13	6	7	Seguin 1968

The results are shown in Table I with data for other mysids. It can be seen that Gastrosaccus contains about 14% less protein than Neomysis, Leptomysis and Praunus. Although Vinogradova's mysid protein level is also low these data might be unreliable since certain fractions were calculated by difference (Raymont, Austin and Linford 1968). However, Vinogradova's findings of low protein and high lipid levels were repeated by Raymont, et al. (1969) for the bathypelagic mysid Eucopia. In general the lipid level for Gastrosaccus approximates to those for the three mysids named in the table. Although the carbohydrate content of Gastrosaccus appears high it must be remembered that the animals were analysed in a very fresh state; often within one hour of capture. Raymont et al. (1968) have already suggested that under similar conditions the carbohydrate content of Neomysis integer may approach 4% dry weight.

NOTES

The significant difference between the tropical intertidal Gastrosaccus and the semi-planktonic, temperate Neomysis and Praunus and the Mediterranean Leptomysis is in their chitin and ash contents. In Gastrosaccus these combined fractions are high enough to account for the lack of protein. It is proposed that, due to its physical environment, Gastrosaccus requires high levels of chitin and ash to strengthen its exoskeleton against the abrasion of sand grains and turbulence of water in strong surf. This hypothesis excludes any effects of latitude on the major constituents of mysids.

I am indebted to various organisations in Britain and India which made possible my trip to the Annamalai University Centre for Advanced Study in Marine Biology at Porto Novo. Special thanks are due to the Royal Society, the Ministry of Overseas Development, the British Council, Southampton University, Annamalai University, N.E.R.C. and the Indian U.G.C. At Porto Novo, Professor R. V. Seshaiya kindly provided extensive laboratory and boat facilities and Mr. K. Balasubrahmanyam gave great help in locating, catching and identifying the specimens. I also wish to thank Professor J. E. G. Raymont of Southampton University who has given inestimable help and encouragement in this and all my marine work.

Oceanography Department, Southampton University, J. AUSTIN* England.

REFERENCES

RAYMONT, J. E. G., AUSTIN, J. and LINFORD, E. 1964. J. Cons. perm. int. Explor Mer. 28: 354-363.

_____, ____ AND _____. 1968. J. Mar. biol. Ass. U. K. 48: 734-760.

_____ & LINFORD, E. 1966. Int. Rev. ges. Hydrobiol, 51: 484-488.

-----, SRINIVASAGAM, E. T. & RAYMONT, J. K. B. 1969. Ibid., 54: 357-365.

SEGUIN, G. 1968. Deep Sea Research. 15: 491-492.

VINOGRADOVA, A. A. 1964. Okeanologiya 4: 232-242. (N.L.L. translation.)

220